

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DIVISION OF ENGINEERING SERVICES

Office of Structural Materials

Quality Assurance and Source Inspection



Bay Area Branch
690 Walnut Ave. St. 150
Vallejo, CA 94592-1133
(707) 649-5453
(707) 649-5493

Contract #: 04-0120F4Cty: SF/ALA Rte: 80 PM: 13.2/13.9File #: 1.28**WELDING INSPECTION REPORT****Resident Engineer:** Casey, William**Address:** 333 Burma Road**City:** Oakland, CA 94607**Report No:** WIR-028680**Date Inspected:** 25-Oct-2012**Project Name:** SAS Superstructure**OSM Arrival Time:** 700**Prime Contractor:** American Bridge/Fluor Enterprises, a JV**OSM Departure Time:** 1730**Contractor:** American Bridge/Fluor Enterprises, a JV**Location:** Job Site**CWI Name:** As noted below**CWI Present:** Yes No**Inspected CWI report:** Yes No N/A**Rod Oven in Use:** Yes No N/A**Electrode to specification:** Yes No N/A**Weld Procedures Followed:** Yes No N/A**Qualified Welders:** Yes No N/A**Verified Joint Fit-up:** Yes No N/A**Approved Drawings:** Yes No N/A**Approved WPS:** Yes No N/A**Delayed / Cancelled:** Yes No N/A**Bridge No:** 34-0006**Component:** SAS OBG**Summary of Items Observed:**

Quality Assurance Inspector (QA) Douglas Frey was at the American Bridge/Fluor (ABF) job site at Yerba Buena Island in California between the times noted above in order to monitor Quality Control functions and the in process work being performed by ABF personnel. The following items were observed:

This QA observed the following welders working in OBG 13E and 13W at various locations:

ABF welder Eric Sparks #3040 was observed performing ongoing weld repairs on weld 13E-E2.4 at y=190mm. The dimension of the excavation was noted as 80L/20W/12D. The welder was observed utilizing WPS ABF-WPS-D15-1004-Repair-Revision 0 for SMAW. The welder was observed preheating the welds prior to Carbon Arc Gouging and welding. Other welding parameters as inspected by QC Inspector appeared to be in compliance with the WPS noted above. RWR 201210-002 was referenced for this weld repair.

This QA Inspector performed an Ultrasonic (UT) inspection on 13W PP122.5-W2.8-BF1. This QA Inspector recorded a rejectable indication at y=1625mm. QC management was informed and confirmed the indication. These welds were previously accepted by QC Ultrasonic technicians in accordance with AWS D1.5-2002, section 6, table 6.3. This QA generated a TL-6027 UT report on this date. The testing was performed in accordance with AWS.D1.5-2002 Section 6, table 6.3. No RWR was required for this weld repair.

This QA Inspector performed Magnetic Particle (MT) testing on the weld located at 12E-E2.1 from 7000mm to 9000mm. This QA Inspector performed MT testing utilizing the yoke method in conformance with ASTM E 709 and the standard of acceptance with D1.5 section 6.26.2.1. This QA Inspector noted that no rejectable indications

WELDING INSPECTION REPORT

(Continued Page 2 of 2)

were found at the time of testing. This QA Inspector generated a TL-6028 MT report on this date. The completed work at this location appeared to be in general conformance with the contract specifications.

This QA Inspector performed an Ultrasonic (UT) inspection on 13W-W2.1 from 2760mm to 5000mm. This QA Inspector noted that no rejectable indications were found at the time of testing. These welds were previously accepted by QC Ultrasonic technicians in accordance with AWS D1.5-2002, section 6, table 6.3. This QA generated a TL-6027 UT report on this date. The testing was performed in accordance with AWS.D1.5-2002 Section 6, table 6.3.

This QA observed QC Inspector William Sherwood and Salvador Merino performing welding parameter checks such as voltage, amps, electrodes and preheats throughout the day. Also noted were QC Inspectors John Pagliero and Jesse Cayabyab performing various Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) on completed weld repairs as they became available for testing. Non-Destructive Testing methods utilized by the QC Inspectors were Visual Testing (VT), Magnetic Particle Testing (MPT) and Ultrasonic Testing Shear Wave (UTSW). QC Inspectors were observed performing inspection per applicable code and or contract criteria.

Unless otherwise noted, all work observed on this date appeared to generally comply with the contract documents.

Summary of Conversations:

Conversations relevant to work performed.

Comments

This report is for the purpose of determining conformance with the contract documents and is not for the purpose of making repair or fit for purpose recommendations. Should you require recommendations concerning repairs or remedial efforts please contact Gary Thomas 916-764-6027 , who represents the Office of Structural Materials for your project.

Inspected By:	Frey,Doug	Quality Assurance Inspector
Reviewed By:	Reyes,Danny	QA Reviewer
